

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/483,883	01/18/2000	Mitsunobu Ono	P/16-251 8978		
7590 09/22/2005			EXAMINER		
Steven I Weisburd			AN, SHAWN S		
Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New YORK, NY 10036-8403			2613		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	,				
Office Action Summary		09/483,883		ONO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	· _ ·				
		Shawn S. An		2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CON 136(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to the	MMUNICATION  er, may a reply be time  IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	bly filed ne mailing date of this come (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25.4</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final	nal matters, pros		nerits is				
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4 and 9-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration							
Applicati	on Papers								
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objeed drawing (s) be held in ction is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	) 5) <u>P</u> N	nterview Summary (I aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Pa hther:		52)				

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's remarks as filed on 8/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant presents argument of which Kato's reference fails to mention the circuits being provided on separate substrates, thereby not establishing a prima facie case of obviousness.

However, after careful scrutiny of Kato's reference, the Examiner must respectively disagree, and maintain the grounds of rejection for the reasons that follow.

In response to the argument, Kato's drawing on Fig. 8C clearly shows a general purpose video processing circuit (Fig. 8C, 30) and the endoscopic function adjusting circuit (36) being provided in two-substrates in a common signal processing apparatus (12).

The Applicant further argues that the idea of every circuit being blocked off by a square or in a rectangular enclosure (see drawing) being represent a separate circuit board does not make any sense, nor is it correct to make such an assumption.

However, in contrast to the Applicant's assertion as stated above, the <u>Applicant's invention</u> also shows on Fig. 2, a general purpose video processing circuit (Fig. 2, 30; Rectangular enclosure) and the endoscopic function adjusting circuit (31; Rectangular enclosure) being provided in two-substrates in a common signal processing apparatus (29; Square enclosure), which has substantially the same (drawing) layout/configuration as the Kato's reference.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an endoscope apparatus as taught by Nakamura et al to incorporate the Kato's teachings as above for synchronization of a timing signal as well as the convenient layout of the video processing circuit and the function adjusting circuit, thereby adverse effect of delay and deterioration of signal during transmission through the signal line are compensated. Henceforth, this office action has established a prima facie case of obviousness.

Art Unit: 2613

Therefore, Applicant's argument is considered moot at least based on the Examiner's responses as discussed above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (5,627,583) in view of Kato (4,831,444) as previously discussed in the last Office action as filed on 2/01/05.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn S An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.

Art Unit: 2613

6. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. <u>Please note the new fax number</u>.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAWN AN PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/15/05